

## **Report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Marks**

Mr. Chairman, and Fellow Members:

This spring, the faculty was asked to complete a survey regarding their practice and understanding of the college's policy for granting marks of Incomplete. These results were distributed to the faculty by e-mail, and discussed at the Campus Senate meetings in May.

The results of the survey and the resulting discussions show there are three key questions to be considered:

1. There seems to be a misunderstanding of the flexibility the faculty has when awarding marks of I. How can these misunderstandings be clarified?
2. The flexibility of the policy seems to be in question. Does the policy allow too much interpretation by the faculty?
3. Don't confuse the policy itself with the implementation of the policy. There's a difference. Is it the policy that needs revision or clarification on how to consistently implement it?

These questions will serve as the center of the discussion of the I mark when Campus Senates meet again in the Fall.

Given that the committee has completed only half of its charge, the committee would recommend its ad hoc status be renewed for another academic year.

Respectfully Submitted,

Patrick O'Connor, Chair