

DALNET ACQUISITIONS TASK FORCE
January 17, 1989
Minutes

Present: B. Heath, Chair, H. Ma, A. Salisz, C. Wecker

The list of "desired" management reports to be developed for the Acquisitions Module was reviewed. DALNET Project Managers are reviewing the list and are to forward to L. Bugg by January 27th any suggestions they have for additions to this list as well as rating the listed management reports as essential, important, or desirable.

B. Heath passed out the University of Pittsburgh's document on operational SAS programs as well as the additional SAS reports they are/will be working on developing.

The group then addressed the third charge to the Task Force, that of discussion and suggestions regarding the consistent display of Acquisitions information in LUIS. The discussion centered on two types of messages to the public:

1. LUIS messages that are system-supplied and will be consistent for all DALNET libraries but for which different wording might be desirable
2. LUIS messages and displays that can vary from one DALNET library to another

The four messages in LUIS whose wording can not be altered for various institutions are messages regarding the status of an item and are generated from codes on the copy holdings record to show:

- a. pre-order status:
IN THE PRE-ORDER PROCESS.
- b. on order status:
ON ORDER, NOT YET RECEIVED.
- c. in process:
IN PROCESS. To have cataloged for your use, ask at circulation desk.
- d. records for staff use only:
THIS RECORD IS FOR STAFF USE.
If another copy is not listed, ask staff for assistance.

The question regarding this category is: is there alternative wording that can be used to indicate the status that DALNET libraries would like better? The Task Force recommended that possible changes to the wording of these statuses should be reviewed by the DALNET Screens Committee.

The second type of displays in LUIS are as follows:

- a. the "R" statement from the OPR record
- b. the volumes holdings record
- c. universal messages
- d. the content of provisional bibliographic records
- e. billing records (for memberships, etc.)

Questions regarding the necessity of consistency in LUIS display for the above were put forth, as follows:

a. "R" statement from the OPR record

- .type of information to display?
- .formatting of display?
- .summary or issue-specific?
- .one "R" statement or separate "R" statements for each issue?
each volume?

b. volume holdings record

- .close statement or leave open for titles still being received?
- .use of volume designation for monographs? for serials?
- .indication of back orders?
- .follow the OCLC snapshot tape format for serials?
- .consistency of spacing?
- .each volume on separate line?
- .indicate incompletes?

c. universal messages

One example of the use of universal message was on the "parent record" for a series when there are individual records for each title in the overall series, e.g. "For holdings, see under individual titles". The question arose regarding the use of this field by Acquisitions staff. B. Heath and A. Pogany will report back regarding the use of the universal message by Acquisitions staff.

d. Provisional records

- .should this be addressed by this Task Force or the Database Standards Committee?
- .what fields are minimally required? author, title, edition, and imprint? what about series?
- .how can the problem of name and series headings on provision records coming on the "new/dropped name heading report" be handled? searching of the bibliographic file prior to record creation will help? should there be a requirement to search the authority file as well?

e. "billing" record

- .should there be consistency in what this record is called?
- .fixed field requirements?
- .should a provisional record be created even when a record could be pulled over from OCLC?
- .should both the bibliographic file and the authority file be checked prior to establishing the form of the corporate body?

The preceding two LUIS displays (d. and e.) are particularly related to serial publications. In general, the issues discussed by the Acquisition Task Force impact on serials publications as well as monograph publications. The Task Force felt that it would be advantageous to have serials person (Diane Paldan) meet with the Acquisitions Task Force on an advisory basis. C. Wecker will discuss this with L. Bugg.

The next meeting of the Acquisitions Task Force will be held on Thursday February 23rd. The responses should be back by that date regarding possible SAS reports for the Acquisitions Module.

Charlene D. Wecker
Minutes submitted by Charlene Wecker